

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/725,059	LAVOIE ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JEFFREY WOLLSCHLAGER	1791	

All Participants:

(1) Jeff Wollschlager

(2) Dominic Goudreau

Date of Interview: 3 December 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

-NA-

Claims discussed:

1, 6 and 9

Prior art documents discussed:

-NA-

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner Wollschlager proposed an examiner's amendment to put the case into condition for allowance wherein the limitations from claim 6 (including claim 5) would be brought into claim 1 and claim 9 (including the limitations from claim 7 & 8) would be re-written in independent form. Mr. Goudreau agreed and asked to have claims 12-15 duplicated as dependent claims for the new independent claim 9 and further asked to have Figure 5 placed on the front of the patent. Ex. Wollschlager reviewed the claims and Figure 5 and agreed.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jeff Wollschlager/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:00

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)